

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,120	09/16/2003	Phillip Montgomery Hudnall	71316-04	7144
7.	590 03/30/2005		EXAMINER	
Mark L. Davi	=		LE, HOA VAN	
P.O. Box 9293 Gray, TN 37615-9293			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1752	
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		('
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/667,120	HUDNALL, PHILLIP MONTGOMERY
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hoa V. Le	1752
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a son. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncondition.	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal mater	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-41</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-41</u> are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	Summary (PTO-413)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94: 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	-/ []	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/667,120 Page 2

Art Unit: 1752

This application is up for consideration.

A. A telephone call to Mr. Mark 1. Davis is made on 23 March 2005 to request an election of

species and an election of an invention. Mr. Davis requests a written Office action.

B.1. Claims 15-41 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising

many possible of color developer preservatives in the art with some of them being identified on

page 11, lines 15-22. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed

species, even though this requirement is traversed.

2. Claims 20-41 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising

many possible hydrogenation solvents in the art with some of them being identified on page 10,

lines 4-15. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species one or a

set of solvents, even though this requirement is traversed.

3. Claims 26-41 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising

many possible species of first solvents in the art. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to

elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

4. Claims 26-41 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising

many possible of second solvents in the art. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a

single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,120 Page 3

Art Unit: 1752

5. Claims 20-41 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising many possible precursor compounds in the art. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

6. Claims 15-41 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising many possible p-phenylenediamine free base in the art. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- C. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 15-19, drawn to a color developing solid, classified in class 430, subclass
 465.
 - II. Claims 20-25, drawn to a preparing process, classified in class 430, subclass 490.
 - III. Claims 26-32, drawn to another preparing process having a patentably different and distinct steps from those in Group "III" above, classified in class 430, subclass 493.
 - VI. Claims 33-36, drawn to another preparing process having a patentably different and distinct steps from those in Groups "III" and "IV" above, classified in class 430, subclass 484.

Art Unit: 1752

V. Claims 37-41, drawn to another preparing process having a patentably different and distinct steps from those in Groups "III", "VI" and "V" above, classified in class 430, subclass 450.

Page 4

The inventions of Groups II, III and IV are related to processes but have the patentably different and distinct processing steps and have acquired the separate status and searches in the art and can be supported the separate patents as divided by applicants and have no evidence of the record that are not required the separate consideration and search since they are the obvious variants because the prior art being applied to one of them would be sufficient against all inventions, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant should show or provide an evidence to the contrary. In the absence of convincing evidence, the restriction would not be removed.

Inventions of Group I and Groups (II, III and IV) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process of making p-phenylenediamine free base color developing developer can be used to made other an material different product such as a ready-to-use color developing solution. Applicant should show or provide an evidence to the contrary. In the absence of convincing evidence, the restriction would not be removed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired the separate status in the art and can support the separate patents as divided by applicants and have

Application/Control Number: 10/667,120

Art Unit: 1752

no evidence of the record that they are not patentably different or distinct and are the obvious variants under 35 U.S.C. 103 to one having ordinary skill in the art since no separate consideration or search is necessitated or required because a prior art being applied against one invention is sufficient against all of them, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 5

- D. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- E. However a process claim is permitted to be rejoined with an allowable material claim provided the process claim must be contained all of the limitations of the allowable material claim in accordance with the authority stated in In re Ochiai, 37 USPQ2d 1127 or In re Brouwer, 37 USPQ2d 1663 and MPEP 821.04. Accordingly, applicants are required to identify any process claim that contains all of the limitations the elected material claims for an examination in each of the response to an office action in order for it to be properly and timely rejoined as set forth.
- F. Other issues have not been considered until a proper election is made and resolved.
- H. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa V. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1332. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 4:30 PM on Monday though Thursday and about the same time of most Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,120

Art Unit: 1752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526.

Applicants may file a paper by (1) fax with a central facsimile receiving number 703-

872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoa V. Le Primary Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 1752

HVL.

23 March 2005

HOA VAN LE

Haa Van Le